

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 695 of 2019

Date of Decision: 08.07.2019

The Poohla Co-operative Labour and Construction Society Ltd.
.....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. A. Venu Prasad and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Munish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful
disobeyance of order dated 12.11.2018 passed in CWP No. 6093 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"Petition is hereby disposed of. In case petitioner sends a fresh copy of the legal notice/representation to the concerned authority alongwith a copy of this order by registered/speed post, the authority shall pass a speaking order at the earliest, in any case not later than six weeks of receipt thereof. If any amount is found due to the petitioner, payment of same be released after sanction from the Finance Department, if required."

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate has filed reply along with order today in Court, which is taken on record. Copy of the reply given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the order passed, there is an amount of 28,01,230/- due to be paid to the petitioner for which it has been stated that the funds have been asked from the State Government.

Learned counsel for the respondent on instructions from Avtar Chand, Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Maur Mandi, District Bathinda, verified by learned counsel states that the matter would be perused by them and needful regarding balance payment would be done within three months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in case any grievance survives the petitioner be given liberty to avail remedy as available in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made above, no cause of action survives. The rule issued against respondents is hereby discharged.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case the petitioner is aggrieved of order passed by respondents, he shall be at liberty to avail remedy as available in accordance with law.

08.07.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No