

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2323 of 2019

Date of Decision : 28.01.2019

Suresh Chand Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the claim being made by the petitioner is that on the delayed release of the pensionary benefits, the petitioner should be paid interest @ 12% per annum.

As per the averments made in the writ petition, the petitioner was asked to deposit the contributory provident fund, which he had already received alongwith interest @ 12% per annum. Petitioner's claim is that once he has deposited the contributory provident fund with interest @ 12% per annum, the respondents were also bound to release the pensionary benefits alongwith interest.

For the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 30.10.2018 (Annexure P-11) and as per the averments made in the writ

petition, the said legal notice is still pending consideration with the respondents.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 30.10.2018 (Annexure P-11) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to him within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

January 28, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*