

378

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Amended CWP NO.10062 of 1996(O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.07.2019

Buta Singh and othersPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present Mr.Gunjeet Brar and Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocates
for the petitioners.
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioners at the time of filing the writ petition was that vide order dated 24.06.1993 (Annexure P-2), they were declined benefit of regularization despite being entitled, as per the judgment rendered by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **State of Haryana v. Piara Singh 1992(5) SPJ 1**. Writ petition was admitted in the year 1997 and by the efflux of time, it seems that the relief sought by the petitioners is rendered infructuous as by now their services would have been regularized in view of the later policies promulgated by the State from time to time.

In the premise, writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, in the event the grievance of the petitioners is not mitigated, they are at liberty to approach the Court, if so advised.

17.07.2019
mamta

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No