

102

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.7567 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.01.2019

AMIT KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Vs

KANCHAN RANI

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on 02.11.2018 mandatory period of 18 months of separation was not complete. As on date, the said period has been completed and period of six months for separation has also been completed.

In view of ratio of **Amardeep Singh vs. Harveen Kaur, 2017(4) R.C.R. (Civil) 608**, all the ingredients are fully satisfied.

In the memorandum of parties, Kanchan Rani has been shown as petitioner No.2 though there is a word mentioned as 'versus' between them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that infact

there is no rival parties in this revision petition. Kanchan Rani is also petitioner No.2 before the trial Court and there will not be contest between the parties on the aforesaid issue.

In view of aforesaid, this revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the Addl. District Judge, Kurukshetra to take cognizance of the pending dispute by preponing the date of hearing from 26.04.2019 to some early actual date and dispose off the petition strictly in consonance with **Amardeep Singh's** case (supra).

January 15, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No