

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7468 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.07.2019

Gautam Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Devi and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh, Panwar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate appears for added respondent No.4 and submits that respondent No.4 has no objection for being impleaded as additional respondent No.4 in the main claim case in view of the petitioner's contention that the vehicle in question was sold to the said added respondent.

On account of the aforesaid consent given by added respondent No.4 for being impleaded as an additional party in the main claim case, the revision petition is disposed off after setting aside the impugned order.

Let the added respondent No.4 Mohit Sahni appear as an

additional respondent before the Ld. Tribunal on the date already fixed i.e. 22.07.2019. Formal service upon the respondent/claimant need not be caused for being impleaded as an added respondent in the original claim case.

10.07.2019

Ali

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No