

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4014-2019

Date of decision:6.5.2019

DHARAMRAJ AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. R.K. Girdhwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Nitish Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2 and 3.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.527 dated 17.8.2012 under Sections 323, 324, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 29.1.2019 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get the statements recorded with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jhajjar, has been received wherein it has been reported that statements of the petitioners as well as of complainant-Kuldeep and injured-Sudesh have been recorded who have stated that they have compromised the matter and that complainant and injured has no objection if the FIR is quashed. It has specifically been opined by learned Magistrate that compromise is genuine and voluntarily took place between parties without there being any undue influence or coercion.
4. In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is allowed and FIR No.527 dated 17.8.2012 under Sections 323, 324, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

6.5.2019
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No