

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(108)

CWP-11649-2019

Date of Decision: May 30, 2019

Ram Kanwar Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of the judgment rendered in CWP No.8082 of 2001 titled as R.K. Verma and others vs. State of Haryana and another, decided on 01.12.2010 for the grant of the scale of Rs.7500-12,000/- w.e.f. 01.01.1996. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is similarly situated as the petitioner in CWP No.8082 of 2001 titled as R.K. Verma and others vs. State of Haryana and another, decided on 01.12.2010 and therefore, keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana, 2002 (2) S.C.T. 354, that similarly situated employees should also be extended the benefit. Once the question of law is settled by the competent Court of law and therefore, the petitioner, whose case is also covered by R.K. Verma's case (supra) is entitled for the benefit under the said judgment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly admits that a representation has been moved to Principal but not to the competent authority, who is to grant the benefit, as being sought by the petitioner.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the respondents first by filing appropriate representation seeking the claim as being sought in the present writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 30, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No