

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 7496 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision: December 16 , 2019.

Kunti Devi (since deceased) through LRs PETITIONER (s)

Versus

Atma Singh RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Amardeep Singh Gill, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Following order was passed on the last date of hearing:-

“Mr. Amardeep Singh Gill, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondent and files his power of attorney. The same is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as possession of the demised premises has been secured by the respondent-landlord in the execution proceedings. A photocopy of order dated 21.12.2016 has been produced wherein it is reflected that execution proceedings were withdrawn being satisfied.

However, there is no representation on behalf of the

petitioners.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 16.12.2019.

Counsel for the petitioners be notified of the date fixed.”

Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, despite learned counsel being informed of the date fixed. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter any longer.

Petition is accordingly dismissed in default.

December 16 , 2019.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No