

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2823 of 2019
Date of decision:-01.02.2019

Arun Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Instant petition is directed against the notice dated 07.01.2019 (Anenxure P-8) that has been issued by the National Commission for Women, New Delhi.

Perusal of the impugned notice reveals that the petitioner has merely been called upon to appear in person before the Commission on a particular day for hearing/counselling alongwith comments/written reply and duly supported by an affidavit.

By no stretch of imagination, the impugned notice can be construed as a final order and detrimental to the interest of the petitioner.

No basis for interference is called for.

Petition dismissed.

At this stage, counsel would bring to the notice of this Court, the averments contained in para 13 of the writ petition levelling certain allegations against the Chairperson of the National Commission of Women, New Delhi. Such assertions/allegations can only be construed as

unfortunate as the Chairperson of the National Commission for Women, New Delhi, against whom the same have been levelled has not even been impleaded as a party respondent by name. The same as such need not even be gone into.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.02.2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No