

CWP-2087-2019

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2087-2019

Date of decision : 25.01.2019

Jai Kumar Kamboj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against respondent No.4 for closing down the commercial activity in the residential area.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Yamunanagar, to consider and decide the complaint dated 02.04.2018 (Annexure P-1).

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Deputy Commissioner, Yamunanagar, to consider and decide complaint dated 02.04.2018 (Annexure P-1) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment after giving an effective opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

25.01.2019*atulsethi***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No