

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 360 of 2019

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Ajit Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Gurpreet Singh Thind

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of directions of this Court passed in COCP No. 1824 of 2016 in CWP No.23994 of 2015.

The dispute was with regard to finalization of time table for Mansa to Barnala route.

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply was filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner took time to go through the same. He states that the time table has not been finalized yet.

Learned State counsel relies upon Para-7 of the reply, which is reproduced below:

"7. That in due compliance with the above order of the Hon'ble High Court, in order to finalize and approve the joint time table of Mansa-Barnala route, a notice was got published in the issue of the Motor Transport Gazette Weekly, Chandigarh dated 01.04.2019 Annexure R-1 for the information of all those concerned for framing and approving the joint time table of the said route

by the office of the deponent on 16.04.2019. But the Notice in question has been challenged by one of the Private Transport Companies namely Gobind Highways Regd. Barnala by way of filing CWP No. 9716 of 2019 in this Hon'ble Court who vide its order dated 11.4.2019 while issuing Notice of motion for 21.05.2019 has ordered to maintain status quo till then. The copy of order dated 11.4.2019 is Annexure R2."

In view of the fact that the time table could not be finalized as there was status-quo granted by this Court in CWP No. 9716 of 2019, no case is made out for contempt.

The contempt petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one in case cause of action survives after the decision of writ petition.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

18.09.2019

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No