

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(113)

CWP-2687-2019

Date of Decision: January 31, 2019

Parveen Gupta

..Petitioner

Versus

Managing Director, Haryana Tourism Corporation Ltd. ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Petitioner in person.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner appearing in person states that the penal interest which has been charged from the petitioner amounting to Rs.22,287/- could not have been charged by the respondents as the responsibility to deduct the amount of the loan was with the respondents themselves and in case they did not deduct it, the charging of the penal interest by them is illegal.

Petitioner states that in this regard he has submitted a representation to the respondents on 12.10.2018 (Annexure P-3) and he will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation qua the charging of the penal interest.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner as made in the present writ petition only qua the charging of the penal interest. Let appropriate order be passed within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

January 31, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No