

CR No.679 of 2018

Date of Decision:23.07.2019

SIRAJUDDIN & OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

VED PARKASH & OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Kul Bhushan Sharma, Advocate
for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition is directed against the order dated 06.01.2018 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Faridabad (for short-the Trial Court) through which the petitioners were directed to affix the *ad valorem* court fee on the sale consideration of the sale deed dated 24.06.2010 within 30 days of the passing of the order.

Though, in the present petition as also at the time of issuance of notice of motion, it was submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they were not liable to affix *ad valorem* court fee on the sale consideration of the sale deed dated 24.06.2010, at the time of the hearing of the petition, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that he has express instructions from the petitioners to state that the petitioners are willing to affix the *ad valorem* court fee as directed by the Trial Court through its order dated

06.01.2018. However, he prayed for the grant of one month time to do the needful.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 on whose application the impugned order had been passed has no objection if the aforesaid prayer made by the petitioners is accepted.

In view of the above, the petitioners are permitted to affix the *ad valorem* Court fee on the sale consideration of the sale deed dated 24.06.2010 within 30 days from today.

The petition stands disposed off in the above terms.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

July 23, 2019

JUDGE

Jyoti-1 Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No