

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

252

CR No.6747 of 2018

Date of decision:12.9.2019

SEWA LAL GUTPA

.....petitioner

Versus

SUJIT KAUR AND ANR

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(oral)

Notice of motion was issued on 5.10.2018. On 24.1.2019, fresh notices were issued to the respondents for 8.5.2019 after noticing the facts that the ordinary notices issued to the respondents were refused by them. The refusal was recorded in *dasti* notice issued to respondent No.1 and thereafter, affixation was made. Respondent No.2 was stated to be in jail and it could not be pointed out by the process server that the affixation was made and witnessed by some person. In view of these facts, fresh notices were ordered to be issued to the respondents for 8.5.2019. Liberty was also granted to the petitioner to serve respondent No.2 through the Superintendent of concerned jail, where he is lodged.

On the adjourned date i.e.8.5.2019, none appeared

on behalf of the petitioner. It was found that the liberty granted to the petitioner was not availed qua service of respondent No.2. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity was granted to learned counsel for the petitioner to do the needful in the context of service of respondent No.2, failing which, an appropriate order is to be passed today.

Today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, nor the compliance of previous orders has been made. It appears that the petitioner has lost track of the case. Hence, this Court has no alternative but to dismiss the revision petition in default.

Ordered accordingly.

September 12, 2019
anita

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No