

(222) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.6739 of 2017

Date of decision: 16.07.2019

Gurpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajwant Kaur

.... Respondent

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] On 29.09.2017 on submission of counsel for the petitioner that the matter could be amicable resolved between the parties, notice of motion was issued to the respondent subject to deposit of ₹ 15,000/- towards litigation expenses. On 07.12.2017 the matter was adjourned to 09.03.2018 by order. On 09.03.2018 no one was present on behalf of the petitioner whereafter the case had been adjourned thrice by order.

[2] As per office report, notice could not be issued to the respondent, as counsel for the petitioner had not deposited ₹ 15,000/- towards litigation expenses. Today also the report as above made on 02.02.2019 has been reiterated vide noting dated 12.07.2019, besides, none is present on behalf of the petitioner. In the circumstances, it is apparent that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the revision petition.

[3] Revision petition is dismissed in default.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.07.2019
amit

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.