

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.570 of 2019

Date of Decision: 26.09.2019

Ranveer Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

This revision is directed against the impugned order dated 26.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Ld. Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kanina in Civil Suit No.RT371/15/16 dated 30.03.2015/06.08.2016.

2. Vide the impugned order, an application of the present Petitioner dated 29.11.2017 (Annexure P-1) for being impleaded as a party in the Suit pending before the Ld. Trial Court was dismissed.

3. The basis of his application was that being a resident of the village, he had a vested interest in the disputed land of which, the Defendant-Gram Panchayat is the owner in possession. The Petitioner had also claimed his right to ensure that the Respondents-plaintiffs may not grab the said land illegally with the connivance of the Defendant-Gram Panchayat.

4. It transpires that the application for impleadment was filed at a stage when evidence from the Plaintiff's side had already been closed. Undoubtedly, the land in question does not belong to the Petitioner personally. While it was submitted on his behalf that earlier a litigation was pending against the Respondents-Plaintiffs for their eviction from the disputed land, yet they had filed the Suit by concealing such a material fact. No copy of the previous proceedings seeking eviction of the Respondents-Plaintiffs at the instance of the Gram Panchayat has anyway been placed on record.

5. In such circumstances, considering the very belated stage, at which the Petitioner approached the Ld. Trial Court for being impleaded, it is certainly not found to be a fit case to allow him to join the proceedings so as to enable him to delay the same. He always has his own independent/alternative remedy in law, in case he is affected by whatever be the outcome of the pending Suit on merits. Consequently, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

September 26, 2019

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No