

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

**CR-66-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.02.2019**

Kusum Lata

....Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Tanwar

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral):-

The present revision petition has arisen out of the order dated 05.11.2016, passed by learned District and Sessions Judge, Family Court, Sonipat, whereby, an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act filed by the petitioner-wife, was dismissed and she was not granted interim maintenance.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that a divorce petition filed by the petitioner-wife has been allowed vide order dated 01.11.2018.

In such circumstances, no purpose would be served in continuing the controversy with regard to *ad interim* maintenance.

Disposed of.

22.02.2019
anju rani

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No