

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 216

CR-6935-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.07.2019

Gagandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Jasveen Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Babita, Advocate, for
 Mr. A. K. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition is directed against the order dated 14.07.2016, passed by the Additional District Judge, Amritsar (for short, the Trial Court), through which the petitioner has been directed to pay ₹20,000/- per month to his wife/respondent No. 1 and ₹10,000/- per month each to his minor children/respondents No. 2 and 3.

A perusal of the impugned order reveals that the aforesaid amounts have been ordered to be paid by the petitioner without there being any evidence whatsoever on the record. No reason is also found in the impugned order as to why the aforesaid amounts have been ordered to be paid by the petitioner. However, since through an interim order passed by this Court on 20.12.2016, 50% of the awarded maintenance, as directed to be paid by the petitioner, has admittedly been paid by the petitioner to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of by making the interim arrangements absolute especially when learned counsel for the parties inform the Court that respondent No. 1 has already been granted divorce from the petitioner and such proceedings have attained finality.

17.07.2019
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No