

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 6518 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-13.12.2019**

Kashtriya Sabha District Ambala through its President

...Petitioner

Versus

The Civil Judge, Junior Divn. Ambala and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2, 4 to 7, 8(iii), 9 and 10.

Ms. Parveen Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Salil Bali, Advocate
for respondent No.11.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Notice of motion was issued on 28.09.2018 for 23.10.2018. Turn of the case did not reach on 23.10.2018. On 28.01.2019, none had appeared in this case and learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to take steps to serve the unserved respondents and the case was adjourned for 26.04.2019.

On 26.04.2019 also, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and no compliance was made in the context of

serving the unserved respondents. Office of learned counsel for the petitioner was already informed vide letter No.2285 dated 08.02.2019. The case was adjourned for 07.08.2019 with a direction that the needful be done in the context of serving unserved respondents before the adjourned date i.e. 07.08.2019.

On 07.08.2019, the following order was passed:

"Notice of motion was issued on 28.09.2018. On 28.01.2019, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the Court passed the order that petitioner may take steps to serve the unserved respondents as per office report. The case was adjourned to 26.04.2019. The compliance of order dated 28.01.2019 was not made even upto 26.04.2019, but in the interest of justice, the case was adjourned for today.

As per office report, learned counsel for the petitioner has not taken any step to serve the unserved respondents till date.

Faced with this situation and owing to the prevailing situation in the bar association, the case is further adjourned to 13.12.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner if so advised may take steps to serve the unserved respondents in accordance with law, failing which appropriate order shall be passed on the adjourned date."

Evidently, no steps have been taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner to serve the unserved respondents.

Today, learned counsel for the respondents states that even the suit has been decided by the Trial Court on merits.

In view of above, this revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

13.12.2019

geeta

**(Raj Mohan Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No