

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

CR No. 6845 of 2013
Date of decision : 6.3.2019

Sangeeta Sanan

.....**Petitioner**

v.

Tilak Raj Sharma

.....**Respondent**

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present : Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner

AMIT RAWAL, J.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/defendant submits that application seeking the execution of judgment and decree dated 15.11.2006, passed in favour of the respondent/plaintiff has been dismissed on the ground that the petitioner was not the owner but appeal of the respondent/plaintiff against dismissal is pending. This petition has arisen out of the dismissal of the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC for setting aside ex parte judgment and decree.

In view of the fact that the execution application has been dismissed, no cause survives. The present petition has been rendered infructuous and disposed of as such.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition in case appeal of the plaintiff is allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

6.3.2019

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No