

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

**CWP-2344-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 29.01.2019**

Kartar Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

UCO Bank and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari thereby quashing the impugned notice dated 15.01.2019 (Annexure P-4) under Section 13(4) of Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short 'The Act') vide which the property of the petitioners i.e. residential house is sought to be taken possession and also being auctioned by invoking Section 13(4) of The Act.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondent-Bank at the first instance or take recourse to any other alternative remedy, as may be available to the petitioners, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

29.01.2019

pooja saini

*Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*