

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(109)

CWP-1991-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 22, 2019

Om Pal

.. Petitioner

Versus

**The Managing Director, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited
and ors**

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

CM-6381-CWP-2019

Present application has been filed to place on record Annexure P-11.

The application is allowed and Annexure P-11 is permitted to be taken on record.

CWP-1991-2019

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that the work charge service which the petitioner rendered from the year 1982 till 1996, has not been counted for calculating the pensionary benefits. Even though, a prayer has been made for taking into consideration the said work charge service for granting the benefit of 2nd and 3rd ACP on completion of 20 and 30 years of service but at the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a statement that he does not wish to press the same.

There is no request which has been made by the petitioner in respect of the relief which is being claimed in the present writ petition. In the absence of any claim, no mandamus can be issued.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents to raise his claim for the grant of benefit of work charge service as a qualifying service for the grant of pensionary benefits.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

In case, the petitioner files any representation claiming the said benefit, the same be decided expeditiously.

May 22, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No