

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6219 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:13.09.2019

Balraj Bansal

... Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present:- Mr. Chiranshu Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sunil Kumar, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that his client has already sold the disputed property and, therefore, has no further interest in the same. Consequently, the revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the revision petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

13.09.2019
sk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No