

VIKAS CHANDER
2019.01.08 17:33

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR no.6047 of 2018

Date of Decision: 07.01.2019

Naresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Om Wati and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate, for respondent no.1.

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

Both learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that in terms of the order of this Court dated 11.10.2018, vacant possession of the demised premises has been handed over to the respondents by the petitioner.

Though learned counsel for respondent no.1 submits that the electricity and water dues etc. for the premises for the period that the petitioner occupied it are to be paid, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even the said dues have already been paid till 31.12.2018.

Consequently, with that statement accepted, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

07.01.2019

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : no