

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1950-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.01.2019

Sandeep

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. A.S. Trikha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 26.12.2018 (Annexure P-1), passed by Divisional Commissioner Division, Hisar, vide which, the request of the petitioner for furlough was declined.

Heard.

Perusal of the impugned order shows that the convict wanted to avail furlough for three weeks. Verification from the police was got done. The Commissioner of Police on the basis of police verification, reported that the opposite party is in revengeful mood and there is apprehension of breach of peace. Local police did not recommended the prayer of the petitioner for furlough. Since the police has come to the conclusion that opposite party is in revengeful mood and there is danger to the life of the petitioner, he could be legally declined furlough to protect his life.

Accordingly, petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.01.2019

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No