

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.5864 of 2017
Date of decision:20.02.2019

Mahender Singh Aggarwal ... Petitioner

Vs.

Savitri Devi and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent no.1.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The petitioner/defendant No.4 is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 02.08.2017, whereby, his evidence has been closed by the Court order.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, subject to any terms and conditions which this Court deems fit, the petitioner will conclude the entire evidence. He further submits that no prejudice would be caused to the respondents, who shall be compensated in terms of costs.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book.

No doubt, the petitioner had taken many adjournments to lead evidence and failed to do so, but I am of the view that the lis between the

parties is required to be adjudicated on merits and not on technicalities. In order to render justice, I deem it appropriate, to grant two effective opportunities to the petitioner before the Court below to conclude entire evidence.

Accordingly, the revision petition stands allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 20, 2019
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No