

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3214-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 07.02.2019

Karan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. N.S. Panwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Saini, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Rajesh Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No.558 dated 07.09.2015, registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B, 506 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. City Sonapat.

Learned counsel refers to Section 437(6) Cr.P.C. To contend that after the presentation of the challan, the proceedings have to be concluded within sixty days. The petitioner is in custody since 06.02.2016.

Cites *Sanjay Chandra Vs. CBI (SC) 2011(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 898*.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that FIR was registered on 07.09.2015. The petitioner was declared proclaimed offender on 28.01.2016. Thereafter, he surrendered on 06.02.2016. The petitioner is the main accused and despite being a government servant, he usurped huge amounts of the complainants.

Heard.

This is the third bail petition moved by the petitioner. Learned

counsel has not been able to cite any fresh favourable circumstance. The trial is in progress. There are multiple complaints against the petitioner pertaining to different period and different amounts, however, the respondent has preferred to lodge singular FIR. During the course of arguments, it has surfaced that the petitioner was declared proclaimed person vide order dated 28.01.2016 passed by CJM, Sonapat.

There is no dispute with regard to the law laid down in the cited case, however, keeping in view the antecedents of the petitioner coupled with the seriousness of the offence alleged, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer. Accordingly, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.02.2019

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No