

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3352 of 2019
DECIDED ON: 05.02.2019

ARVINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr. Tarundeep S. Khaira, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

As per office report, respondent No.9 was served through his wife. However, no one has appeared on his behalf. Notice sent to respondent No.7 received back unserved as his address is incomplete, whereas notice sent to respondent No.8 also received back unserved with the report that he is out of station.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No.6 is taken on record. Be tagged at appropriate place.

Learned State counsel contends that instant petition is gross abuse of the process of law, inasmuch as, two similar petitions bearing

CRM-M-4811-2017 and CRM-M-36835-2017 filed by wife and daughter of the deceased respectively have already been dismissed as withdrawn. This petition is being filed by son of the deceased praying for almost similar reliefs, which were in the earlier petitions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

05.02.2019

sonika

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**