

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-1870-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-23.01.2019**

Bhim Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Hisar, to consider the pending representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) and to initiate action under Section 51 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage, she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Hisar to consider and decide the representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Hisar, to consider and decide the

representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

23.01.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No