

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Revision No. 352 of 2019

Date of Decision: 05.04.2019

Aman Dhami

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Jai Singh

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shekher Dhawan.

Present: Mr. Puneet Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Prayer made in the present revision petition that the defence evidence has been closed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Khanna, whereas petitioner has not been given sufficient time to lead his defence evidence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner wanted to summon bank official in his defence evidence so as to prove his innocence. However, learned counsel fairly conceded that statement of accused was recorded in the month of July, 2018 and thereafter four/five adjournments have been given to the petitioner to lead his defence evidence. During the intervening period, neither any application was moved by the petitioner for examining any witness in his defence nor any amount on account of process fee and diet money was deposited by him. As such, this

plea of leading defence evidence, at a belated stage, is not tenable and no ground is made out to set aside the impugned order dated 16.01.2019. Hence, present revision petition stands dismissed.

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

April 05, 2019
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No