

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5405 of 2018

Date of Decision: 11.09.2019

Ajmer Singh

-Petitioner

Vs

Teja Singh and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate,
for respondents No.7 to 9.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Initially, notice of motion was issued to respondents
No.1 to 9.

Thereafter, learned counsel for the petitioner stated
that respondents No.7 to 9 are the only contesting respondents.

Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate appeared on behalf of
respondents No.7 to 9 and admitted the aforesaid position.

Perusal of para no.4 of the impugned order in my
opinion gives contradictory result.

On the one hand, the Court has observed that the
application for de-exhibiting the documents (Annexures P-14 to
P-20) deserves to be dismissed and at the same time,
documents (Annexures P-14 to P-20) have been discarded.

In view of aforesaid anomalous situation at this stage, it would be just and expedient to direct the trial Court to re-visit the order and pass fresh order in accordance with law.

Both the parties are directed to appear before the trial Court on the date already fixed before it.

11.09.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No