

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(105)

CWP-1878-2019

Date of Decision: January 29, 2019

Bikramjit Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and ors

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anirudh Dhanda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim which is being made by the petitioner is that though the petitioner is entitled to become the member of Retired Employees' Medical Benefits Scheme (REMBS) still, the said claim of the petitioner is not being decided despite the fact that his case has already been recommended by the General Manager vide letter dated 27.11.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a detailed representation to respondent No.6 on 24.12.2018 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 24.12.2018, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated

24.12.2018 within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 29, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No