

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-3006-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-12.4.2019

Ravinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against her vide FIR No.0021 dated 22.6.2018 at Police Station Morinda, Rupnagar under Sections 420 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code.

The FIR was lodged at the instance of Arjun Singh, wherein he has alleged that he is working as a Peon in Tehsil Complex, Khamano and that in the year 2014, while he was looking for a plot to construct a house, he came in contact with one Bahadur Singh son of Ajit Singh and one Ravinder Kaur, wife of Kuldeep Singh, who are property dealers and who showed a plot to him near Gurdwara Sahib, Khamano Kalan. It is alleged that a deal was struck for an amount of Rs.2.10 lacs, which the complainant paid in entirety but despite the accused having taken the aforesaid amount, the sale-deed was not got executed in his favour and later when the

complainant demanded his money back, the accused allegedly threatened the complainant to eliminate him.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and that she is a house wife and has nothing to do with the aforesaid property transaction. The learned counsel has further submitted that, in any case, there is no cogent evidence worth credence that the complainant had ever paid an amount of Rs.2.10 lacs to the accused.

Opposing the petition, the learned State counsel has submitted that in view of the specific and categoric allegations levelled against the petitioner, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has, however, been informed that the petitioner has since joined investigation.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner has already joined investigation and also that the petitioner is a lady and is not stated to be involved in any other case, in my opinion, it is not a case warranting custodial interrogation. The petition, as such, is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 23.1.2019 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The present petition stands accepted accordingly.

12.4.2019

pankaj

Whether speaking /reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes / No

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge