

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-3080-2019

Date of Decision: 21.05.2019

Shashi Kumar @ Sunil Mehta

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr.Suresh K.Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr.Johny, Advocate for
Mr.Sukhdeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 7 is taken on record.

Be tagged at the appropriate place. A copy thereof, has been supplied to
learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that this petition has
become infructuous in view of the fact that the investigation has been
referred back to the same Police Station at Sonapat.

Dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

May 21, 2019
anju

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No