

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.535 of 2017
Date of Decision: 9.7.2019

M/s Ishar Dass @ Ishwar Dass and sonsPetitioner

Versus

Sunil KumarRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.Sandeep Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. Anubhav Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Takhi, Advocate, for the respondent.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 2.12.2016, vide which, the prayer of the petitioner to produce the CD qua the conversation of the respondent-landlord was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that from the said conversation, it is evident that respondent-landlord wanted to sell the property. He has a business of property dealing and did not require the premises for the purpose of his bonafide need.

This Court does not find any reason to disagree with the order passed by the Rent Controller, vide which it is held that the onus to prove the bonafide requirement is on the landlord and therefore, the respondent cannot force the landlord to give evidence against himself.

Dismissed accordingly.

However, nothing stated herein shall have any bearing on the merits of the case.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

9.7.2019
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No