

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.5284 of 2018
Date of decision: 09.05.2019**

Smt. Bimlesh @ Bimla & anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Sushila & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Ram Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Raghujeet Singh Madan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

B.S. WALIA, J (ORAL)

1. Mr. Raghujeet Singh Madan, Advocate, puts in appearance and has filed Memo of Appearance on behalf of respondent No.3. The same is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents state that they have no objection to the prayer made in the revision petition for the petitioners being allowed to get compared their thumb impression on the receipt (Ex.D-5) as well as photocopy (Ex.D-6) subject to the condition that the same are got compared with the thumb impression of the petitioners from some unimpeachable source/document which is already in public domain with the comparison to be got conducted from a Govt. approved Forensic Science Laboratory for which respondents Nos.1 and 2 are willing to bear the expenses.

3. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, revision petition is allowed. Appropriate application be moved by the petitioners in terms of the undertaking of the learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 before the learned trial Court for getting the needful done.

May 09, 2019
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No