

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4849-1985(O&M)
DECIDED ON: 30.10.2019**

V.K. Sharma

.. Petitioner

VERSUS

Punjab Wireless System Limited & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl. AG Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 13.10.2011, the matter was ordered to be listed after receipt of orders from the Company Court, as learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner had sought time to file an appropriate application seeking permission from the Company Court, where the respondent Company was under liquidation, before proceeding with the present writ petition.

Despite lapse of 7 years, needful was not done by the petitioner. Accordingly, the Registry has listed the case with reference.

On 22.05.2019 a date was sought by learned counsel for the petitioner to reconstruct the case and address arguments. The case was adjourned to 08.08.2019 and last opportunity was granted.

On 08.08.2019, none had put in appearance on behalf of petitioner and the case was adjourned for today. Similar is the position today.

No one has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Main case is taken up for hearing.

Inter alia issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* has been sought to quash order dated 24.09.1995 (Annexure P-6) with a consequential relief that the respondents be directed to allow the petitioner to continue in service throughout.

The writ petition was filed in the year 1985 and in all probabilities the petitioner would have by now attained the age of superannuation and would be over-aged for being inducted in service. In any case, perusal of the record reveals that respondent Punjab Wireless Systems Limited, during pendency of writ petition, has gone into process of liquidation and an Official Liquidator has been appointed. On the other hand, by sheer efflux of time, the petitioner would have also moved out of the golden period of life for greener pastures, given that writ petition is pending for more than 34 years.

In the aforesaid premise the writ petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate application, in case any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

30.10.2019

Jiten

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No