

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1688-2019(O&M)

Date of decision-22.01.2019

Anju Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ved Parkash, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pass the meeting dated 27.11.2018 (Annexure P-5) so that the development works can be carried out.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Development & Panchayats Department Haryana, Haryana Panchayat Bhawan, Sector-28A, Chandigarh to consider and decide representation dated 14.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.

Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Development & Panchayats Department Haryana, Haryana Panchayat Bhawan, Sector-28A, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 14.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible to her or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

22.01.2019

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No