

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-1754-2019 (O & M)
Date of decision: 31.05.2019**

Avtar Singh Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Punjab and ors. ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Ankush Sharma, Advocate,
with Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

**CM-1484-CWP-2019 and
CM-5742-CWP-2019**

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that
present applications have been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, present applications are disposed of as
having been rendered infructuous.

CWP-1754-2019

Petitioner has prayed for a writ in the nature of certiorari for
quashing of order dated 07.01.2019, Annexure P-5 whereby the authority has
declined his request for extension in service. Operative part thereof reads as
under;_

*“By returning back the document in original (as
received) vide letter under reference on the above cited subject,
it is hereby mentioned that as per Government memo
No.22/2/2012-3F.P.2/607 dated 25.11.2016-A--Time Clerk
category is surplus with Chief Engineer/RSDC, Water Resource*

Department, Punjab, Shahpurkandi Township. Therefore, one year extension in service to Sh. Avtar Singh, Time Clerk cannot be given.”

It is evident that posts of A-Time Clerk in the office of Chief Engineer are surplus, thus, extension has been denied to the petitioner. Besides, there is a settled law that the extension cannot be claimed as a matter of right. There is, thus, no merit in the petition. Same is hereby dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel contends that retiral dues of the petitioner are still pending. State may look into the grievance of the petitioner within a month.

May 31, 2019
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No