

COCN No. 373 of 2019
DECIDED ON: JULY 10, 2019

AJAIB SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

MANJIT SINGH NARANG

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading willful disobedience of the order dated 08.08.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 17907 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 20.02.2018 (P-2) and to decide the same in accordance with law as well as in view of judgments referred to in para 1 of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If there is no impediment in granting the retiral benefits, to calculate and release the same within a period of next 45 days.

4. However, respondents are also directed to consider the case of the petitioner for the grant of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in the case of **“A.S Randhawa v. State**

of Punjab and others; 1997 (3) SCT 468 as well as Punjab Govt. Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226, dated 10.05.1990.

5. *However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.”*

A short reply filed by way of an affidavit of Amit Bamby, Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala, on behalf of respondent in Court today, same is taken on record. Copy thereof, has been supplied to the counsel for petitioner.

As per the reply, interest on the leave encashment, GPF and gratuity have already been paid.

In view of above, no cause of action survives in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of, as infructuous.

The rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

The petitioner can avail remedies available, in accordance with law in case he is still aggrieved of the order passed by the respondent.

JULY 10, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***