

CRM-M-2681-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2681-2019

Date of Decision: 08.02.2019

Parveen

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Babbar Bhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Shiv Charan, IPS,
Superintendent of Police, Hisar has been filed in the Court today. Same
is taken on record.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the
Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR
No.470 dated 18.05.2018, registered at Police Station City Hisar, District
Hisar, under Sections 332, 353, 186, 336 and 335 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 16 of the U.A.P.A. Act, 1967.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has
appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-2681-2019

As per the allegations in the FIR, a young man i.e. present petitioner had breached the security cover of the VVIP, caused danger to the security of VVIP, manhandled the officials on duty and also insulted the VVIP.

It is stated by learned State counsel that withdrawal of case against the petitioner has also been recommended.

The petitioner has been in custody since 17.05.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail; without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in view of the averments in the FIR and that withdrawal of case against the petitioner has been recommended, I find that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner to custody. Therefore, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

08.02.2019

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No