

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO-M-25-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:05.02.2019

Pushap Kumar alias Rahul Appellant
Vs.
Poonam Sodhi Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: - Mr.M.S. Rana, Advocate, for
Mr.R.S. Rana, Advocate, for the appellant.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This appeal is preferred against the order dated 5.12.2018 by which an application filed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 [for short ‘the Act’] by the respondent-wife for seeking maintenance *pendente lite* and litigation expenses has been allowed to the extent that ₹5,000/- has been awarded to her towards maintenance *pendente lite* and ₹7,000/- towards litigation expenses.

Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant was doing the business of sale purchase of second hand cars.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and after taking into consideration the facts and circumstances, are of the considered opinion that there is no error in the approach of the learned trial Court in awarding the amount of maintenance *pendente lite* and litigation expenses to the appellant.

Thus, the present appeal is hereby dismissed though without any order as to costs.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

05.02.2019
Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No