

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1590 of 2019

Date of Decision : 21.01.2019

Col Prithi Pal Singh (Retd.)

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present : Mr.Rajiv Atma Ram, Sr.,Advocate with
Mr.Bhagoti Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(O)

The petitioner states that the width of the railway over bridge has been reduced which may likely impede the movement of the traffic when it is completed. Apart from that, he cites his own difficulty as his ancestral residential house is in the close vicinity and is likely to be affected.

We are of the opinion that this Court would have inherent limitations in entertaining such a request, for these matters are to be left to those equipped with technical know-how. We, therefore, decline interference in the writ proceedings and direct the respondents No.1 to 6 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as raised in this petition as also the one contained in the representations Annexure P-19(Colly).

Needless to say, if the concern of the petitioner is genuine, the respondents would act favourably, as expeditiously as possible, preferably before completion of the project. If final order is passed on

the representation and the petitioner has any surviving grievance, he would be at liberty to approach this Court.

Disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

21.01.2019
dss

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No