

243

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4696 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.02.2019

Ved Parkash

-Petitioner

Vs

M/s Niskomal Estate (P) Ltd. and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate, for
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate,
for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

On second call, Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate, for Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate appeared on behalf of Parmod and Sandhya Bhagat, who were allowed to be impleaded by the trial Court under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC on 16.02.2013.

They have not been impleaded in the present revision petition.

In view of above, there cannot be any proper adjudication.

This revision petition is allowed to be withdrawn with a liberty to the petitioner to file fresh revision petition after impleading all the necessary/proper parties.

22.02.2019

Jyoti Yadav

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**