

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 2939 of 2019
Date of decision : February 01, 2019

Irfan Khan

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Saqib Ali Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.238, dated 22.8.2018, registered under Sections 458, 506, 120-B, 201, 394, 411 of the IPC, at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

As per allegations in the FIR, two persons had come and robbed the complainant/s.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner was not at all involved and two-accused were duly identified in a test identification parade and are in jail and the petitioner was never identified.

Learned AAG Haryana, on instructions from HC Sunil, states that the petitioner was found to be involved on the disclosure statement of the two co-accused. He has, however, accepted that the trial is going on and

the complainants/victims have been examined.

Custody certificate has been filed. As per the same, the petitioner has been in custody for 4 months and 27 days and his record is otherwise clean. In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

February 01, 2019
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No