

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4275 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.12.2019

Sahab Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Kamlesh @ Kala and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Damodar Khurana, Advocate for
Mr. Nishant Raj, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present revision is filed against the order dated 16.3.2017, vide which, the evidence of the petitioner-plaintiffs was closed on the ground that as many as four opportunities were granted to lead their evidence.

The only argument raised by learned counsel for respondent No.1 is that the petitioners are only wasting the time of the Court.

However, taking into account that it was the evidence of the petitioner-plaintiffs that was closed, allegations of delay cannot be alleged as the only persons who should be aggrieved of delay is the petitioners themselves.

In view of the above, the order dated 16.3.2017 is set aside. The petitioner-plaintiffs are granted one last opportunity to lead their evidence subject to deposit of ₹ 20,000/- as costs, out of which ₹ 10,000/- shall be

deposited with the District Bar Association, Panipat and remaining ₹
10,000/- shall be paid to the respondent-defendants by way of demand draft
in their name.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

16.12.2019

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No