

117
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/1/2019 16:23
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-2476-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.1.2019

Dinesh Kumar Vij and another Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Sukhpreet Grewal, Advocate,
for petitioners.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard. The learned counsel for petitioners contends that younger son of petitioners is involved in a criminal case. Police is threatening the petitioners and calling them to police station without issuing notice in order to compel them to produce their son. Petitioners have already disowned their son.

Notice of motion.

On behalf of State, Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG Punjab, accepts notice. Copy of petition be supplied to learned State counsel during course of day.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents not to call petitioners to police station without issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C., giving therein time and reason for summoning them to police station. In any case, if petitioners are to be summoned, they will be summoned only during day time.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.1.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No