

**133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-1517-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-21.01.2019**

Satish and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Nitin Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari, mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction for directing respondent Nos.1 to 4 to take action against respondent No.6 as per report dated 30.11.2018 (Annexure P-9), complaint dated 23.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) and another complaint dated 03.12.2018 (Annexure P-10) to restrain respondent No.6 from misappropriating shamlat deh land in any manner and to direct the police authorities to register a case against respondent No.6 for duping the villagers.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat to consider and decide complaint dated 03.12.2018 (Annexure P-10) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat to consider and decide complaint dated 03.12.2018 (Annexure P-10) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to the petitioners, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

21.01.2019

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No