

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

Crl. Misc. No.M-2379 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 21.01.2019

Subhash Chander

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.5 agreed to sell a shop to him and took earnest money. Thereafter, instead of executing the sale deed, he transferred the shop in favour of his mother i.e. Respondent No.6, who further mortgaged it for a sum of ₹ 5,00,000/-. A representation dated 11.12.2018 has been submitted but the police has not taken any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, learned AAG, Punjab accepts notice. Let five copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day. Considering the nature of order being passed, no notice is necessary to issue to respondents No.5 and 6.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to objectively consider the representation dated 11.12.2018 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action thereupon in case founds necessary, after enquiry, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 21, 2019

poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Yes

No