

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2848-2019(O&M)

Date of Order:17.05.2019

Pal singh @ Paul Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate, for respondent no.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.23, dated 19.03.2013, registered under Sections 447/427/506/120-B IPC, at Police Station Fattu DHINGA, District Kapurthala and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued.

In compliance of the order dated 23.01.2019 passed by this Court, the parties got their statements recorded before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi. Consequently, a report dated 02.03.2019 along with statements of the parties has been received which is available on record of the case. Learned Magistrate has reported that the parties have effected compromise which is genuine, voluntary, and are not the result of any threat, pressure or coercion etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State are ad idem that none of the petitioner is proclaimed offender.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through the record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement by way of compromise. The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

For the aforesaid view, this Court finds support from *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*,

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned and to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.23, dated 19.03.2013, registered under Sections 447/427/506/120-B IPC, at Police Station Fattu Dyinga, District Kapurthala and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are ordered to be quashed, however, qua the petitioners only.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands allowed.

May 17, 2019
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No